JOINT DISTRIBUTION OF HECKE EIGENFORMS ON \mathbb{H}^3

DIDIER LESESVRE, LUCA MARCHESINI, AND NICOLE RAULF

ABSTRACT. We prove a joint value equidistribution statement for Hecke-Maaß cusp forms on the hyperbolic three-space \mathbb{H}^3 . This supports the conjectural statistical independence of orthogonal cusp forms.

1. Introduction

1.1. Value distribution conjecture. The value distribution of eigenfunctions in the semiclassical limit is one of the main problems in analytic number theory and quantum chaos. Berry [Ber77] suggested that eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator for chaotic systems are modeled by random waves: in particular, eigenfunctions on a compact hyperbolic surface should have a Gaussian value distribution when the frequency grows, and the moments of an L^2 -normalized eigenfunction should be given by the Gaussian moments. Such a behavior is analogously expected from automorphic forms on more general locally symmetric spaces, see [Hum18]. This conjectural behavior, called the Gaussian Moment conjecture, encapsulates the Quantum Unique Ergodicity conjecture.

This article considers the joint value distribution of Hecke-Maaß cusp forms on the hyperbolic 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 , analogously to the recent results of Huang [Hua24, HHL24] for the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 . In the case of the hyperbolic 3-space, we have the following conjecture (analogue of [Hua24, Conjecture 1.6]):

Conjecture 1.1. Let Γ be an arithmetic subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Let Ω be a fixed compact set of $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$ such that its boundary $\partial \Omega$ is of measure zero. Let f and g be two Hecke-Maaß forms such that $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$. Then, for any positive integer a, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f^a \bar{g}^a d\mu(z) = o(1), \tag{1}$$

as the corresponding eigenvalues tend to infinity.

Even though this conjecture is out of reach by current methods, it can be proven for low moments and we may therefore be interested in the limiting behavior of

$$\int_{\Omega} f^2 g \qquad \text{or} \qquad \int_{\mathbb{H}^3} \psi f^2 g,\tag{2}$$

where ψ is a smooth compactly supported function. The following theorem is the main result of this paper and a smoothed analogue of the joint value equidistribution conjecture; it is analogous to [HHL24, Theorem 1.4] in the case of the Poincaré upper-half plane \mathbb{H}^2 .

Theorem 1.2. Let f and g be two Hecke-Maa β cusp forms. Assume the Generalized Lindelöf Hypothesis. We have, for all $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3)$, as $t_f \to \infty$,

$$\langle \psi, f^2 g \rangle = \langle \psi, g \rangle \mathbf{1}_{t_f > t_g - t_g^{\varepsilon}} + O_{\psi} (t_f t_g^{1/2})^{-1 + \varepsilon}. \tag{3}$$

Date: August 7, 2025.

Remark 1.3. A careful study of the arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.2 shows that it is sufficient to assume, instead of the Generalized Lindelöf Hypothesis, a strong subconvex bound of the form $L(s, f) \ll c(f)^{1/8-\delta}$ for a $\delta > 0$, for the L-functions occurring (which can be of degree up to 16). We refer to further remarks at the end of the proof concerning more general number fields and the level aspect analogue.

2. Statement and tools

We introduce in this section the notations used in this article as well as the tools and results that will be invoked throughout the paper for easier reference. Part of this section might be skipped in a first reading and referred to when necessary.

2.1. **Hyperbolic 3-space and automorphic forms.** We recall the theory of the hyperbolic 3-space, for which a good reference is [EGM98]. Let

$$\mathbb{H}^3 := \{ P = z + rj : \ z \in \mathbb{C}, \ j > 0 \}$$
 (4)

be the hyperbolic 3-space which we consider as a subset of Hamilton's quaternions with the standard \mathbb{R} -basis (1, i, j, k). The 3-space \mathbb{H}^3 is equipped with the hyperbolic metric. The corresponding volume element is given by

$$dv = dv(P) = \frac{dxdydr}{r^3} \tag{5}$$

and the corresponding Laplace-Beltrami operator by

$$\Delta = r^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \right) - r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$
 (6)

The group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ acts on \mathbb{H}^3 as follows: if $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $P = z + rj \in \mathbb{H}^3$ is considered as a quaternion whose fourth components equals zero, then

$$MP := (aP + b)(cP + d)^{-1},$$

where the inverse is taken in the skew field of quaternions. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, D < 0, be an imaginary quadratic number field of discriminant d_K and of class number $H_K = 1$. There are only nine such imaginary quadratic fields. We denote the ring of integers of K by \mathcal{O}_K and its unit group by \mathcal{O}_K^* . It is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_{K}^{*} = \begin{cases}
\{\pm 1\} & \text{if } D \notin \{-1, -3\}, \\
\{\pm 1, \pm i\} & \text{if } D = -1, \\
\{\pm 1, \pm \rho, \pm \rho^{2}\} & \text{if } D = -3.
\end{cases}$$
(7)

Remark 2.1. Working with class number $H_K = 1$ simplifies the calculations as we only have to consider one cusp in the spectral decomposition. The methods used to prove Theorem 1.2 can nevertheless be applied to the analogous problem for other imaginary quadratic fields, up to dealing with the existence of other cusps in the case of class number greater than one.

Let $\Gamma := \Gamma_K := \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$. This is a co-finite subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. As $H_K = 1$, Γ has only one cusp. The spectral theory of $-\Delta$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma} = \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}^3$ is well-known (see e.g. [EGM98]). As Γ is not co-compact but co-finite, the spectrum of $-\Delta$ consists of a discrete part of finite multiplicity and an absolutely continuous part of multiplicity 1. The absolutely continuous part can be described using Eisenstein series which are defined as follows: let $\Gamma_{\infty} = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma \infty = \infty \}$ be the stabilizer of the cusp ∞ and $\Gamma'_{\infty} = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma \infty = \infty \}$

 Γ_{∞} : $|\text{tr }\gamma| = 2$ to be the set of all parabolic elements of Γ that stabilize ∞ ; in particular Γ'_{∞} is a normal subgroup of Γ_{∞} . Note that $\Gamma_{\infty} \neq \Gamma'_{\infty}$ only if D = -1 and D = -3. In these cases Γ_{∞} also contains elliptic elements of Γ . The Eisenstein series is defined by

$$E(P,s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}' \backslash \Gamma} r(\gamma P)^{1+s}, \quad \Re(s) > 2.$$
 (8)

The theory of Eisenstein series for the hyperbolic 3-space can be found in [EGM98] or [Hei90]. In order to write the Fourier expansion of the Eisenstein series let $\zeta_K(s)$ denote the Dedekind zeta function for K and

$$\phi(s) := \frac{2\pi}{s\sqrt{|d_K|}} \frac{\zeta_K(s)}{\zeta_K(1+s)} \tag{9}$$

be the scattering matrix for $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Then the Fourier expansion of $E_{\infty}(P,s)$ is given by

$$E_{\infty}(P,s) = r^{1+s} + \phi(s)r^{1-s} + \frac{2(2\pi)^{1+s}}{|d_K|^{(1+s)/2}\Gamma(1+s)\zeta_K(1+s)} \times \sum_{0 \neq \omega \in \mathcal{O}_K} |\omega|^s \sigma_{-s}(\omega)rK_s \left(\frac{4\pi|\omega|r}{\sqrt{|d_K|}}\right) e^{2\pi i \left\langle \frac{2\overline{\omega}}{\sqrt{d_K}}, z \right\rangle}$$
(10)

as proven in e.g. [Hei90, p. 102]. Here $\sigma_s(\omega)$ denotes the generalized divisor function

$$\sigma_s(\omega) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{O}_K^*|} \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{O}, \\ d \mid \omega}} |d|^{2s}$$

and $\langle \mu, z \rangle = \Re(\overline{\mu}z)$. A different way to define the Eisenstein series is

$$E_{\infty}(P,s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \backslash \Gamma} r(\gamma P)^{1+s}, \quad \Re(s) > 2,$$

and then $E(P,s) = \frac{|\mathcal{O}_K^*|}{2} E_{\infty}(P,s)$, see [EGM98, p. 232]. In the three-dimensional case the Hecke operators are defined as follows: if $n \in \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \{0\}$ we define \mathcal{M}_n to be the set of all matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, ad - bc = n. Then for f being a Γ -invariant function the Hecke operator T_n is given by

$$(T_n f)(P) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(n)}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{M}_n} f(\gamma P).$$

Here $N(n) = |n|^2$ is the norm of $n \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Furthermore, the action of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) := \{M \in \operatorname{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) : \det M \neq 0\}$ on \mathbb{H}^3 is given as follows. If $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $P \in \mathbb{H}$, we set $q := \sqrt{\det M}$ and

$$MP := q^{-1}(aP + b)(cP + d)^{-1}q,$$

with the inverses being taken in the skew field of quaternions. The theory for Hecke operators for Bianchi groups is developed in [Hei90]. However, in contrast to Heitkamp we have incorporated the factor $1/\sqrt{N(n)}$ in the definition of the Hecke operator.

The Fourier expansion of a cusp form is given in [Hei90, Lemma 16.1]. Let f be an automorphic form with eigenvalue $\lambda = 1 - s^2$. Then we have the Fourier expansion at the cusp ∞ given by

$$f(z+rj) = \phi(r,s) + \sum_{0 \neq \mu \in \mathfrak{o}^2} \rho_f(\mu) r K_s(2\pi |\tilde{\mu}|r) e(\langle \tilde{\mu}, z \rangle), \tag{11}$$

where $\tilde{\mu} = (2/\sqrt{d_K})\bar{\mu}$. If the form is L^2 -normalized, as it will be in this article, then we can deduce the value of its first coefficient $\rho_f(1)$ by the Rankin-Selberg method:

$$|\rho_f(1)|^{-2} = \frac{|d_K|^{1/2}}{4} \Lambda(1, \text{sym}^2 f),$$
 (12)

which should be compared to [Hei90, Korollar 17.6], noting that the convention therein is the arithmetic normalization $\rho_f(1) = 1$ and therefore a non- L^2 -normalized form. We emphasize that the numerator $32\pi^4$ in [Hei90, p. 125, last equation] should instead be $128\pi^4$.

2.2. **Preliminary results.** A central tool in our method is the spectral expansion and the Parseval equality, which reads as follows [EGM98, Section 6.3, Theorem 3.4].

Lemma 2.2 (Spectral expansion). For any $\psi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3)$, we have

$$\psi = \frac{\langle \psi, 1 \rangle}{\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3)} + \sum_{m} \langle \psi, u_m \rangle u_m + \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{|d_K|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \psi, E(\cdot, it) \rangle E(\cdot, it) dt, \qquad (13)$$

where the sum is over a Hecke orthonormal basis $(u_m)_m$ of cusp forms.

We will need to explain the projections occurring when applying this spectral expansion to f^2g as in the statement of Theorem 1.2, and these involve triple inner products of cusp forms. To control these terms, we appeal to the Watson-Ichino formula and use more precisely the following uniform bound.

Lemma 2.3 (Watson-Ichino formula). There is an absolute constant C such that for any ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 three spherical Hecke-Maaß forms, which we consider as spherical vectors in the associated automorphic representations π_1, π_2, π_3 , we have

$$|\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \phi_3 \rangle|^2 := \left| \int_{\Gamma \setminus G} \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \right|^2 = \frac{C}{8\pi} \frac{\Lambda(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3)}{\prod_i \Lambda(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 \pi_i)}.$$
(14)

Proof. This is in line with [CFR21, (6.5)], with the precise computation of the "constants" appearing therein. See also the very recent paper [Nel25] explaining bounds on triple products in the real case of the quantum unique ergodicity conjecture.

For each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let π_i be a cuspidal spherical representation in which $\phi_i \in \pi_i$ is a spherical vector. By the Ichino formula [Mar14, Theorem 4], there is an absolute constant C such that

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \phi_1 \phi_2 \phi_3 \right|^2 = C \int_G \prod_{i=1}^3 \langle \pi_i(g) \phi_i, \phi_i \rangle dg \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 \otimes \pi_3)}{\prod_i L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 \pi_i)}.$$
 (15)

The result therefore reduces to proving that the above integral over G of product of matrix coefficients is, up to a constant, equal to the Gamma factors occurring in the completed L-functions (14).

We embed π_1 and π_2 in their respective Whittaker models: denote by $\mathcal{W}(\pi_i, \psi)$ the Whittaker model of π with respect to the character ψ [Bum97, Section II.2.8]. Let $W_i \in \mathcal{W}(\pi_i, \psi)$ be a Whittaker function corresponding to ϕ_i . We embed π_3 in its induced model \mathcal{I}_3 as explained in [Bum97, Theorem II.2.7.1], and denote $f_3 \in \mathcal{I}_3$ be the vector corresponding to ϕ_3 , which is given by [Bum97, (5.13) and (5.22)], viz.

$$f_3(n(x)a(y)k) = x^{s_1+s_2}y^s\phi_3(k) = y^{1+it}f_3(k)$$

for all g = n(x)a(y)k decomposed according to the Iwasawa decomposition of G, where n(x) is the unipotent matrix with upper-right entry x and a(y) is the diagonal matrix parametrized by y. Here, $\lambda = s(1-s)$ relates the spectral parameter s to the eigenvalue λ , and $s = \frac{1}{2}(s_1 - s_2 + 1) = 1 + it$. By using [MV10, Lemma 3.4.2] also stated in [Mar14, Proposition 7], we can express in these terms the sought integral:

$$\int_{G} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \langle \pi_{i}(g)\phi_{i}, \phi_{i} \rangle dg = \frac{|\mathcal{T}|^{2}}{8\pi}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T} := \int_0^\infty \int_K W_1(a(y)k) \overline{W_2}(a(y)k) y^{1+it} f_3(k) y^{-2} dk d^{\times} y. \tag{16}$$

We now follow the strategy used in [Mar14, Proposition 6] to deal with the integral over K. We examine the action of the central torus $M = K \cap Z$ on W_i and f_3 , for which these are eigenfunctions. Concerning W_i , the left action under K is given in [Bum97, Proposition II.2.8.1] (it has a weight k_i in the notations therein), and the right action under Z is given in [Bum97, p. 244] for diagonal matrices (it has weight μ_i); therefore the action under $M = K \cap Z$ has necessarily weight $k_i = \mu_i$. As for f_3 , it has a given weight on both sides by the explicit computations from [Bum97, (5.22)].

The vector W_i (resp. f_3) has a certain weight w_i under the right action of M, therefore we require $w_1 - w_2 + w_3 = 0$ for the expression (16) to be nonzero, otherwise a change of variable $k \mapsto km$ does show that the integral over K vanishes. This means that the function $W_1(a(y)k)\overline{W_2}(a(y)k)f_3(k)$ is K-invariant and therefore the value of the integrand is constant, thus the integral is equal to the value of this function at e.g. k = id. We therefore obtain

$$\mathcal{T} = \int_0^\infty W_1(a(y))\overline{W_2}(a(y))y^{-1+it}d^{\times}y. \tag{17}$$

We appeal to the explicit knowledge of the Whittaker functions in our case [Mar14, Proposition 8, with weights all zero and therefore m = 0], which is also given in [Mar14, (22), in the case k = 0]. We have

$$W_i(a(y)) = |\Gamma(1+it_i)|^{-1} y K_{it_i}(4\pi y).$$
(18)

We input these values in \mathcal{T} and use the inversion formula given in [GR07, 6.576] to obtain

$$\int_0^\infty y^{\lambda} K_{\mu}(y) K_{\nu}(y) dy = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1)} \prod_{\pm, \pm} \Gamma\left(\frac{1 + \lambda \pm \mu \pm \nu}{2}\right),\tag{19}$$

where the product is over both \pm and therefore has four factors. All in all, we get

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1+it+it_1+it_2}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1+it+it_1-it_2}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1+it-it_1+it_2}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1+it-it_1-it_2}{2})}{|\Gamma(1+it_1)\Gamma(1+it_2)|\Gamma(1+it)}.$$

Therefore, $|\mathcal{T}| = \mathcal{T}\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ exactly features the eight Gamma factors needed to complete the L-functions arising in (15), finishing the proof.

Triple products involving Eisenstein series will also occur when spectrally expanding f^2g in Theorem 1.2, and these can be controlled by appealing to the unfolding technique.

Lemma 2.4 (Rankin-Selberg unfolding). For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, denote $E_t := E(\cdot, it)$. For every $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and every cusp forms f and g, we have

$$\langle u_k g, E_t \rangle = \frac{\rho_k(1)\rho_g(1)\Lambda(\frac{1+it}{2}, g \times u_k)}{2\Lambda(1+it)},$$
(20)

$$\langle E_t g, E_s \rangle = \frac{\rho_t(1)\rho_g(1)\Lambda(\frac{1+is+it}{2}, g)\Lambda(\frac{1+is-it}{2}, g)}{2\Lambda(1+it)}.$$
 (21)

Remark 2.5. This is the analogue of the Watson-Ichino formula when some cuspidal Hecke-Maaß forms are replaced by Eisenstein series. Taking the squared modulus of the above inner product and using (12), we indeed obtain

$$|\langle u_k g, E_t \rangle|^2 = \frac{4\Lambda(\frac{1}{2}, E_t \times g \times u_k)}{|d_K||\Lambda(1+it)|^2\Lambda(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 g)\Lambda(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 u_k)},$$
(22)

$$|\langle E_t g, E_\tau \rangle|^2 = \frac{4\Lambda(\frac{1}{2}, E_t \times E_\tau \times g)}{|d_K||\Lambda(1+it)\Lambda(1+i\tau)|^2\Lambda(1, \text{sym}^2 g)}.$$
 (23)

Proof. We start by $\langle u_k g, E_t \rangle$, which we will unfold by inputting the Fourier expansion of each cusp form and Eisenstein series. This generalizes [Hei90, Section 17.2], where the computation is restricted to the case $u_k = g$. By using the Fourier expansions (11) of u_k and g, we have

$$\langle u_k, gE_t \rangle = \int_F \left(\sum_{0 \neq \mu \in \mathfrak{o}^2} \rho_k(\mu) r K_{it_k}(2\pi |\tilde{\mu}| r) e(\langle \tilde{\mu}, r \rangle) \right)$$
 (24)

$$\times \left(\sum_{0 \neq \nu \in \mathfrak{o}^2} \rho_g(\nu) r K_{it_g}(2\pi |\tilde{\nu}| r) e(\langle \tilde{\nu}, r \rangle) \right) E_t(z + rj) dz r^{-3} dr. \tag{25}$$

By replacing the Eisenstein series E_t by its definition (8) and unfolding the integral, we get

$$\langle u_k, gE_t \rangle = \int_0^\infty \int_{P_0} \left(\sum_{0 \neq \mu \in \mathfrak{o}^2} \rho_k(\mu) K_{it_k}(2\pi |\tilde{\mu}| r) e(\langle \tilde{\mu}, r \rangle) \right)$$
 (26)

$$\times \left(\sum_{0 \neq \nu \in \mathfrak{o}^2} \overline{\rho_g(\nu) K_{it_g}(2\pi |\tilde{\nu}| r) e(\langle \tilde{\nu}, r \rangle)} \right) dz r^{it} dr. \tag{27}$$

By executing the integration of $e(\langle \tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\nu}, z \rangle)$ over z first, we select only the case where $\mu = \nu$ with a weight vol $(P_{\mathfrak{o}})$; indeed by [Hei90, p. 122] we have

$$\int_{P_{\mathfrak{o}}} e(\langle \tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\nu}, z \rangle) dx dy = \operatorname{vol}(P_{\mathfrak{o}}) \mathbf{1}_{\nu = \mu}. \tag{28}$$

We therefore obtain

$$\langle u_k, gE_t \rangle = \text{vol}(P_{\mathfrak{o}}) \sum_{0 \neq \mu \in \sigma^2} \rho_k(\mu) \overline{\rho_g(\mu)} \int_0^\infty K_{it_k}(2\pi |\tilde{\mu}|r) K_{it_g}(2\pi |\tilde{\mu}|r) r^{it} dt dr$$
 (29)

$$= \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{d_K}}\right)^t \frac{\operatorname{vol}(P_{\mathfrak{o}})}{4\pi^t \Gamma(t+1)} \prod_{\pm} \Gamma\left(\frac{1+it \pm it_k \pm it_g}{2}\right) \sum_{0 \neq \mu \in \mathfrak{o}^2} \frac{\rho_k(\mu) \overline{\rho_g(\mu)}}{|\mu|^{1+it}}$$
(30)

where the Mellin transform of product of Bessel functions has been replaced by Gamma factors using (19), which is valid under the condition $\Re(t) > |\Re(it_k)| + |\Re(it_g)| = 0$. Note moreover that $\operatorname{vol}(P_{\mathfrak{o}}) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{|d_K|}$. We conclude by using the fact that

$$\sum_{0 \neq \mu \in \mathfrak{o}^2} \frac{\rho_k(\mu) \overline{\rho_g(\mu)}}{|\mu|^t} = \rho_k(1) \rho_g(1) \frac{L(t, f \times g)}{\zeta_k(2t)},\tag{31}$$

and by the defintion of the completing factors:

$$\Lambda(s) = (2\pi/\sqrt{d_K})^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta_K(s),$$

$$\Lambda(t, f \times g) = (2\pi/\sqrt{d_K})^{-4t} \prod_{\pm, \pm} \Gamma(t \pm \frac{it_f}{2} \pm \frac{it_g}{2}) L(t, f \times g).$$

We proceed similarly in the case of two Eisenstein series. By inputting the Fourier expansions of the cusp form (11) and one Eisenstein series (10), while using the definition of the second Eisenstein series to unfold the integration domain, we get

$$\langle fE_t, E_s \rangle = \int_0^\infty \int_{P_0} \left(r \sum_{0 \neq \mu} \rho_f(\mu) K_{it}(2\pi |\tilde{\mu}| r) e(\langle \tilde{\mu}, z \rangle) \right)$$

$$\times \left(r^{is} + \phi(is) r^{2-is} + \frac{2r}{\xi_K(s)} \sum_{0 \neq m} |m|^{is-1} \sigma_{1-is}(m) K_{is-1}(2\pi |\tilde{m}| r) e(\langle \tilde{m}, z \rangle) \right) r^{it-3} dz dr$$

By executing the integration of $e(\langle \tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\nu}, z \rangle)$ over z first and using (28), we only pick up the terms where $\mu = m$ with a weight $\operatorname{vol}(P_{\mathfrak{o}})$, and this in particular removes the constant terms of the Eisenstein series' expansion. We are therefore left with

$$\langle fE_t, E_s \rangle = \frac{2\text{vol}(P_{\mathfrak{o}})}{\xi_K(is)} \sum_{0 \neq \mu} \rho_f(\mu) |\mu|^{is-1} \sigma_{1-is}(\mu) \int_0^\infty K_{it}(2\pi |\tilde{\mu}|r) K_{is-1}(2\pi |\tilde{\mu}|r) r^{it-1} dr.$$

Appealing again to the explicit Mellin transform of products of Bessel functions in terms of Gamma factors, we obtain

$$\langle E_t f, E_s \rangle = \rho_f(1) 2^{2 - (1 - it)} \frac{\sqrt{|d_K|}}{2} \frac{|O^{\times}|^2}{\xi_K(it)\xi_K(is)} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{|d_K|}}\right)^{-it}$$
 (32)

$$\times \frac{L(\frac{it-is+1}{2}, u_j)L(\frac{it+is+1}{2}, u_j)}{\Gamma(it)} \prod_{\pm, \pm} \Gamma\left(\frac{it \pm (is-1) \pm it_j - 1}{2}\right)$$
(33)

where we can read off the L-factors as well as the completing gamma factors. \Box

We recall the Stirling formula that will be used to estimate the Archimedean parts of the L-functions arising from Watson-Ichino formula and the Rankin-Selberg method.

Lemma 2.6 (Stirling formula). For bounded $x \in \mathbb{R}$, as $y \to \infty$, we have

$$|\Gamma(x+iy)| \simeq (1+|y|)^{x-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|y|}.$$
 (34)

We will use uniform bounds on Eisenstein series, and we prove the following:

Lemma 2.7 (Uniform bounds on Eisenstein series). For any compact $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega} |E(z, it)| \ll_{\Omega, \epsilon} (1 + |t|)^{1 + \epsilon}. \tag{35}$$

Remark 2.8. Assing [Ass19] recently proved a stronger bound on sup-norm for Eisenstein series with exponent 3/8, matching the quality of [You18]; however the above lemma is sufficient to our purposes and we provide an elementary proof for completeness.

Proof. We follow closely [You18]. Consider the Fourier expansion given in (10) and the relation $E = \frac{|\mathcal{O}_K^*|}{2} E_{\infty}$. After applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it suffices to bound

$$C(t) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma(1+it)||\zeta_K(1+it)|} \cdot \sum_{0 \neq \omega \in \mathcal{O}_K} \sigma_0(\omega) \left| K_{it} \left(\frac{4\pi |\omega| r}{\sqrt{|d_K|}} \right) \right|,$$

the others terms being bounded with respect to Ω . By the reflection formula for the Gamma function we can rewrite it as

$$C(t) \ll \frac{1}{|\zeta_K(1+it)|} \cdot \sum_{0 \neq \omega \in \mathcal{O}_K} \sigma_0(\omega) \left| K_{it} \left(\frac{4\pi |\omega| r}{\sqrt{|d_K|}} \right) \right| \frac{\cosh(\pi t/2)}{|1+t|^{1/2}}.$$

To simplify the notation, denote $L = 4\pi r/\sqrt{|d_K|}$ and notice that both L and 1/L are bounded in terms of Ω . Applying the uniform asymptotic expansion due to Balogh [Bal67] for the K-Bessel function, we obtain the estimates

$$\cosh(\pi t/2) K_{it}(u) \ll \begin{cases}
t^{-1/4} (t-u)^{-1/4}, & \text{if } 0 < u < t - Ct^{1/3}, \\
t^{-1/3}, & \text{if } |u-t| \le Ct^{1/3}, \\
u^{-1/4} (u-t)^{-1/4} \exp\left(-c(\frac{u}{t})^{3/2} \left(\frac{u-t}{t^{1/3}}\right)^{3/2}\right), & \text{if } u > t + Ct^{1/3}.
\end{cases}$$
(36)

We split the sum over ω_g by considering the following three cases. The contribution of the range $L|\omega| \leq \frac{t}{2}$ is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{|\zeta_K(1+2it)|} \sum_{|\omega| \le t/2L} \frac{\sigma_0(\omega)}{t} \ll_{\Omega} t \log^2 t, \tag{37}$$

using $|\zeta_K(1+2it)|^{-1} \ll \log t$. The contribution of the range $|L|\omega|-t| \leq t^{1/3}$ gives

$$\frac{1}{|\zeta_K(1+2it)|} t^{-1/3} \sum_{|L|\omega|-t| \le t^{1/3}} \frac{\sigma_0(\omega)}{t^{1/2}} \ll_{\Omega} \frac{\log t}{t^{5/6}} \left(t^{4/3} \log t \right) \ll_{\Omega} t^{1/2} \log^2 t \tag{38}$$

using a trivial bound on the number of $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_K$ in the range. The case $|L|\omega| - t| \simeq \Delta$ for $t^{1/3} \ll \Delta \ll t$ gives

$$\frac{1}{|\zeta_K(1+2it)|} (t\Delta)^{-1/4} t^{1/2} \sum_{|\omega| = \frac{t}{L} + O(\frac{\Delta}{L})} \frac{\sigma_0(\omega)}{t} \ll_{\Omega} \frac{\log t}{(t\Delta)^{1/4}} \left(t^{1/2} \frac{\Delta}{\log t} + t^{\varepsilon} \right), \tag{39}$$

using Shiu's bound [Shi80] on the function $\tilde{\sigma}_0(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{|\omega|^2 = n} \sigma_0(\omega)$. These terms then give the same bound as (37), plus an additional term that is $\ll_{\Omega} t^{-1/3+\varepsilon}$. The contribution from the remaining range with u > 2t is easily bounded thanks to the exponential contribution in (36).

3. Proof of the theorem

We have now all the tools necessary to prove Theorem 1.2. We follows the strategy of [HHL24].

3.1. **Spectral expansion and first truncation.** We want to determine more precisely the asymptotic behavior of

$$\langle \psi, f^2 g \rangle := \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3} \psi f^2 g, \tag{40}$$

where f and g are Hecke-Maaß forms, and where $\psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3)$. Apply Parseval formula from Lemma 2.2 to expand ψ spectrally, so that the above integral can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\langle \psi, 1 \rangle \langle 1, f^2 g \rangle}{\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3)} + \sum_{m} \langle \psi, u_m \rangle \langle u_m, f^2 g \rangle + \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{|d_K|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \psi, E(\cdot, it) \rangle \langle E(\cdot, it), f^2 g \rangle dt. \tag{41}$$

The first inner product $\langle \psi, 1 \rangle$ is the total mass of ψ , i.e. the volume on which we are concentrating. Using the compact support and bounds on ψ as well as the symmetry of Δ , we get that the coefficients $\langle \psi, u \rangle$ (no matter whether u is a Maaß form or an Eisenstein series) decay faster than t_u^{-A} for all A > 0. Indeed, using the fact that $\Delta u_k = \lambda_k u_k$, we obtain

$$\langle \psi, u_k \rangle = \lambda_k^{-\ell} \langle \psi, \Delta^{\ell} u_k \rangle = \lambda_k^{-\ell} \langle \Delta^{\ell} \psi, u_k \rangle \ll \lambda_k^{-\ell} \int |u_k| \cdot |\Delta^{\ell} \psi| \tag{42}$$

and, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the fact that $\|\Delta^{\ell}\psi\|_2 \ll 1$ (since ψ is C_c^{∞} and fixed) and $\|u_k\|_2 = 1$ (since we chose the forms to be L^2 -normalized), we obtain that $\langle \psi, u_k \rangle \ll \lambda_k^{-\ell}$ for any $\ell > 0$.

Analogously, we have for the Eisenstein series:

$$\langle \psi, E_t \rangle = \lambda_t^{-\ell} \langle \psi, \Delta^{\ell} E_t \rangle = \lambda_t^{-\ell} \langle \Delta^{\ell} \psi, E_t \rangle \ll \lambda_t^{-\ell} \int |E_t| \cdot |\Delta^{\ell} \psi|. \tag{43}$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the fact that $\|\Delta^{\ell}\psi\|_1 \ll 1$ and $\|E_t\|_{\infty} \ll t^{1+\varepsilon}$ from Lemma 2.7, we obtain $\langle \psi, u_k \rangle \ll \lambda_k^{-\ell}$ for any $\ell > 0$.

Moreover, by applying the Parseval formula to the other terms arising in (41) which are a product of four terms, we obtain $\langle u_k, f^2 g \rangle \ll (t_k t_f t_g)^B$ for a certain B > 0, and $\langle E_t, f^2 g \rangle \ll (t_f t_g t)^B$ for a certain B > 0 (see also the Section 3.2 for a similar argument). These bounds are easily balanced by the above bounds from the terms involving ψ . Therefore, this argument truncates the effective spectral range in (41) to the very small ranges $t_m \ll \max(t_f, t_g)^{\varepsilon}$ and $t \ll \max(t_f, t_g)^{\varepsilon}$.

We are therefore left with

$$\langle \psi, f^2 g \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi, 1 \rangle \langle 1, f^2 g \rangle}{\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3)} + \delta_{t_g \ll t_f^{o(1)}} \langle \psi, g \rangle \langle 1, f^2 g^2 \rangle + \sum_{\substack{u_k \neq g \\ t_k \ll \max(t_f, t_g)^{o(1)}}} \langle \psi, u_k \rangle \langle u_k, f^2 g \rangle \quad (44)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{|d_K|}} \int_{|t| \ll \max(t_f, t_g)^{o(1)}} \langle \psi, E_t \rangle \langle E_t, f^2 g \rangle dt, \tag{45}$$

where we singled out the term $u_m = g$, for it will give the potential main term in Theorem 1.2.

3.2. Reduction to triple products. Many terms in (44) display inner products involving four forms. In order to make them amenable to the Watson-Ichino formula, we apply further the spectral expansion from Lemma 2.2 to the terms $u_k g$ and $E_t g$, which

allows to reduce the expression to products of *three* forms at the cost of an extra spectral summation. We obtain

$$\langle \psi, f^{2}g \rangle = \langle \psi, 1 \rangle \langle 1, f^{2}g \rangle$$

$$+ \delta_{t_{g} \ll t_{f}^{o(1)}} \langle \psi, g \rangle \left(\langle f^{2}, 1 \rangle \langle 1, g^{2} \rangle + \sum_{j} \langle f^{2}, u_{j} \rangle \langle u_{j}, g^{2} \rangle + \int \langle g^{2}, E_{t} \rangle \langle E_{t}, f^{2} \rangle dt \rangle \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{u_{k} \neq g \\ t_{k} \ll \max(t_{f}, t_{g})^{o(1)}}} \langle \psi, u_{k} \rangle \left(\underbrace{\langle gu_{k}, 1 \rangle}_{=0} + \sum_{j} \langle gu_{k}, u_{j} \rangle \langle u_{j}, f^{2} \rangle + \int \langle u_{k}g, E_{t} \rangle \langle E_{t}, f^{2} \rangle dt \right)$$

$$+ \int_{|t| \ll \max(t_{f}, t_{g})^{o(1)}} \langle \psi, E_{t} \rangle \left(\underbrace{\langle gE_{t}, 1 \rangle}_{=0} + \sum_{j} \langle gE_{t}, u_{j} \rangle \langle u_{j}, f^{2} \rangle + \int \langle gE_{t}, E_{s} \rangle \langle E_{s}, f^{2} \rangle ds \right)$$

$$+ O(\max(t_{f}, t_{g})^{-A}).$$

$$(46)$$

We need apply the Watson-Ichino formula and further spectral analysis to control the remaining inner products not involving the very well-behaved ψ . For the constant term, this is directly the Watson-Ichino formula from Lemma 2.3, which reads

$$|\langle 1, f^2 g \rangle|^2 = |\langle f^2, g \rangle|^2 = \frac{\Lambda(\frac{1}{2}, \operatorname{sym}^2 f \otimes g)\Lambda(\frac{1}{2}, g)}{\Lambda(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 f)^2 \Lambda(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 g)}.$$
 (47)

For the quadruple products $\langle u, f^2g \rangle = \langle ug, f^2 \rangle$, by (46) we need to estimate more precisely quantities of the form $\langle u_k, fg \rangle$ and $\langle E_t, fg \rangle$. Such automorphic triple products are bounded by means of the Watson-Ichino formula, using (sub)convexity bounds, precise control on the Archimedean L-factors, via the Stirling formula, and lower bounds on the special values at 1 occurring as denominators. More precisely, in case an Eisenstein series arises we use the Rankin-Selberg identity from Lemma 2.4 and get

$$\langle u_k g, E_t \rangle = \frac{\rho_k(1)\rho_g(1)\Lambda(\frac{1+it}{2}, g \times u_k)}{2\Lambda(1+it)}$$
(48)

$$\langle E_t g, E_\tau \rangle = \frac{\rho_t(1)\rho_g(1)\Lambda(\frac{1+it+i\tau}{2}, g)\Lambda(\frac{1+i\tau-it}{2}, g)}{2\Lambda(1+it)}.$$
 (49)

In the case only cusp forms occur, we use the Watson-Ichino formula from Lemma 2.3 and obtain

$$|\langle u_k g, u_j \rangle|^2 \ll \frac{\Lambda(\frac{1}{2}, u_k \times g \times u_j)}{\Lambda(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 u_k)\Lambda(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 g)\Lambda(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 u_j)}$$
(50)

$$|\langle u_j, f^2 \rangle|^2 \ll \frac{\Lambda(\frac{1}{2}, u_j \times \operatorname{sym}^2 f) \Lambda(\frac{1}{2}, u_j)}{\Lambda(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 f)^2 \Lambda(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 u_j)}.$$
 (51)

We in particular obtain

$$|\langle u_k g, E_t \rangle|^2 \ll \frac{\Lambda(\frac{1}{2}, E_t \times g \times u_k)}{|\Lambda(1+it)|^2 \Lambda(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 g) \Lambda(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 u_k)}$$
(52)

$$|\langle E_t g, E_\tau \rangle|^2 \ll \frac{\Lambda(\frac{1}{2}, E_t \times E_\tau \times g)}{|\Lambda(1+it)\Lambda(1+i\tau)|^2 \Lambda(1, \text{sym}^2 g)}.$$
 (53)

3.3. Bounds on L-factors. Let $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)$. Writing the Gamma factors explicitly, we obtain the following bounds:

$$|\langle u_k g, E_t \rangle|^2 \ll \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, E_t \times g \times u_k) \prod_{\pm, \pm, \pm} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(\frac{1 \pm it \pm it_f \pm it_g}{2})}{|\zeta_K(1 + it)|^2 L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 g) L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 u_k) |\Gamma(1 + it)|^2 \prod_{\pm, \pm} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(1 \pm it_g) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(1 \pm it_k)}$$
(54)

$$|\langle E_t g, E_\tau \rangle|^2 \ll \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, E_t \times E_\tau \times g) \prod_{\pm} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(\frac{1 \pm it \pm it_g \pm i\tau}{2})}{|\zeta_K(1 + it)\zeta_K(1 + i\tau)|^2 L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 g) |\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(1 + it)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(1 + i\tau)|^2 \prod_{\pm} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(1 \pm \frac{it_g}{2})}.$$
(55)

In the case of cusp forms we get the following bounds:

$$|\langle u_k g, u_j \rangle|^2 \ll \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, u_k \times g \times u_j) \prod_{\pm, \pm, \pm} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(\frac{1 \pm it_k \pm it_g \pm it_j}{2})}{L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 u_k) L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 g) L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 u_j) \prod_{\pm, v = k, q, j} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(1 \pm it_v)}$$
(56)

$$|\langle u_j, f^2 \rangle|^2 \ll \frac{L(\frac{1}{2}, u_j \times \text{sym}^2 f) L(\frac{1}{2}, u_j) \prod_{\pm} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} (\frac{1 \pm i t_j}{2})^2 \prod_{\pm, \pm} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} (\frac{1 \pm i t_j}{2} \pm i t_f)}{L(1, \text{sym}^2 f)^2 L(1, \text{sym}^2 u_j) \prod_{\pm} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} (1 \pm i t_f)^2 \prod_{\pm} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}} (1 \pm i t_j)}.$$
 (57)

The expressions obtained are similar to the $GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ case of Huang [HHL24], with $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s)$ replacing $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})$. As a result, after applying Lemma 2.6, it is possible to get similar estimates to [HHL24], with different polynomial terms. We report the explicit expressions for completeness.

$$|\langle u_k g, u_j \rangle \langle u_j, f^2 \rangle| \ll \frac{L(1/2, u_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} L(1/2, \operatorname{sym}^2 f \times u_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} L(1/2, u_k \times g \times u_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 u_j) L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 f) L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 u_k)^{\frac{1}{2}} L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 g)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \times \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} Q_1(t_j; t_f, t_g, t_k)}}{t_j t_f t_k^{1/2} t_g^{1/2}}, \quad (58)$$

$$|\langle E_t g, u_j \rangle \langle u_j, f^2 \rangle| \ll \frac{L(1/2, u_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} L(1/2, \operatorname{sym}^2 f \times u_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} L(1/2, E_t \times g \times u_j)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 u_j) L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 f) |\zeta_K(1+it)| L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 g)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \times \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} Q_1(t_j; t_f, t_g, t_k)}}{t_j t_f (1+|t|)^{1/2} t_g^{1/2}}, \quad (59)$$

$$|\langle u_k g, E_{\tau} \rangle \langle E_{\tau}, f^2 \rangle| \ll \frac{|\zeta_K(\frac{1+i\tau}{2})L(1/2 + i\tau, \operatorname{sym}^2 f)L(1/2 + i\tau, u_k \times g)|}{|\zeta_K(1+i\tau)|^2 L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 f)L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 u_k)^{\frac{1}{2}} L(1, \operatorname{sym}^2 g)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \times \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}Q_1(\tau; t_f, t_g, t_k)}}{(1+|\tau|)t_f t_k^{1/2} t_q^{1/2}}, \quad (60)$$

and

$$|\langle E_{t}g, E_{\tau}\rangle\langle E_{\tau}, f^{2}\rangle| \ll \frac{|\zeta_{K}(\frac{1+i\tau}{2})L(1/2+i\tau, \operatorname{sym}^{2}f) \prod_{\pm} L(1/2+i\tau\pm it, g)|}{|\zeta_{K}(1+i\tau)|^{2}|\zeta_{K}(1+it)|L(1, \operatorname{sym}^{2}f)L(1, \operatorname{sym}^{2}g)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \times \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}Q_{1}(\tau; t_{f}, t_{g}, t)}}{(1+|\tau|)(1+|t|)^{1/2}t_{f}t_{g}^{1/2}}, \quad (61)$$

where

$$Q_{1}(t_{j}; t_{f}, t_{g}, t_{k}) = \left| \frac{t_{j}}{2} + t_{f} \right| + \left| \frac{t_{j}}{2} - t_{f} \right| + \frac{|t_{j} + t_{g} + t_{k}|}{2} + \frac{|t_{j} + t_{g} - t_{k}|}{2} + \frac{|t_{j} - t_{g} - t_{k}|}{2} - t_{j} - 2t_{f} - t_{k} - t_{g}.$$
 (62)

3.4. Final Estimates. We apply the bounds obtained to the study of

$$\sum_{j} \langle g u_k, u_j \rangle \langle u_j, f^2 \rangle. \tag{63}$$

The other terms in (46) can be treated in a similar way. The main input comes from the study of the function $Q_1(t_j, t_f, t_g, t_k)$. From (58), we get that if Q_1 is large enough, the exponential contribution will dominate the polynomial terms $P(t_j, t_f, t_g, t_k)$ coming from the convexity bounds available for the central values of the L-functions considered, (see [IK04]) and the logarithmic factors coming from the symmetric square L-functions (this is equivalent to the non-existence of Siegel zeros, and this is proven for symmetric squares of GL(2)-forms in [HR95], conditionally on an hypothesis proven in [Ban97]). For this reason, the sum in (63) can be truncated to $t_j < 2t_f + t_k + t_g$. In fact, in the other case we have $Q_1 > 2t_f + t_k + t_j$ and it grows linearly in t_j , which results in an exponential decay in t_j for the considered terms, from (58). This implies that the contribution of the second range is negligible or comparable to the first range unconditionally.

We now split the study in two cases. If $2t_f < t_g - t_g^{\epsilon}$, we obtain that $Q_1(t, t_f, t_j, t_k) > t_g - 2t_f - t_g^{o(1)}$, where we used $t_k = t_g^{o(1)}$. Then, an application of the convexity upper bounds for the *L*-functions and the logarithmic lower bounds for the symmetric square *L*-functions give unconditionally an exponential bound of the form

$$\sum_{j} \langle g u_k, u_j \rangle \langle u_j, f^2 \rangle \ll e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} (t_g - 2t_f - t_g^{o(1)})}. \tag{64}$$

If $2t_f > t_g - t_g^{\epsilon}$, we assume GLH. Using the trivial bound $Q_1 \geq 0$ and (58) we obtain

$$\sum_{t_j < 2t_f + t_k + t_g} |\langle u_k g, u_j \rangle \langle u_j, f^2 \rangle| \ll \sum_{t_j < 2t_f + t_k + t_g} \frac{(t_g t_j t_f)^{\delta}}{t_j t_f t_g^{1/2} t_k^{1/2}} \ll (t_f t_g^{1/2})^{-1 + \delta'}$$
 (65)

for any $\delta' > \delta > 0$, using again the hypothesis $t_k = t_g^{o(1)}$.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Remark 3.1. A more accurate study of the conductors of the L-functions involved reveals the need of a strong form of subconvexity to achieve an o(1) error term. In particular, in the numerator of (58) we get a degree 16 L-function, whose analytic conductor C is bounded by

$$C \ll t_j^4 t_f^{12}$$
.

As a consequence, the numerator grows as $(t_j^2 t_f^6)^E$ and a subconvex bound with exponent $E = 1/8 - \delta$ for the L-functions is necessary to achieve the result.

Remark 3.2. We expect a similar result to hold in the level aspect. The result would follow from the contributions to the Watson-Ichino formula coming from the ramified places. The evaluation of such contributions can be computed explicitly as in Lemma 2.3.

Remark 3.3. The methods of this paper generalize directly to the general case of number fields F of class number one. The contribution given by the different infinite places in the analogue of Section 3.3 can be treated separately. The estimates finally reduce to r copies of the real case as in [HHL24] and s copies of the complex case treated in the present article, for r and s respectively the number of real and complex embeddings of F. The expected result is a bound on the error term of the form

$$O_{\psi}((t_f(1+|2t_f-t_g|))^{-r/4+\epsilon}(t_ft_g^{1/2})^{-s+\epsilon}).$$

REFERENCES

- [Ass19] E. Assing, On sup-norm bounds part II: GL(2) Eisenstein series, Forum Mathematicum 31 (2019), no. 4 (en), Publisher: De Gruyter.
- [Bal67] C. B. Balogh, Asymptotic Expansions of the Modified Bessel Function of the Third Kind of Imaginary Order, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics 15 (1967), no. 5, 1315–1323, Publisher: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.
- [Ban97] W. D. Banks, Twisted symmetric-square L-functions and the nonexistence of Siegel zeros on GL(3), Duke Mathematical Journal 87 (1997), no. 2, 343–353, Publisher: Duke University Press.
- [Ber77] M. V. Berry, Regular and irregular semiclassical wavefunctions, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 10 (1977), no. 12, 2083 (en).
- [Bum97] D. Bump, Automorphic Forms and Representations, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math, no. 55, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [CFR21] D. Chatzakos, R. Frot, and N. Raulf, Quantum ergodicity for shrinking balls in arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds, August 2021, arXiv:2007.11473 [math].
- [EGM98] J. Elstrodt, F. Grunewald, and J. Mennicke, Groups Acting on Hyperbolic Space, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1998.
- [GR07] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, 7 ed., Academic Press, 2007.
- [Hei90] D. Heitkamp, Hecke-Theorie zur SL(2,o), Ph.D. thesis, Westfälischen Wilhelms Unviersität Munster, 1990.
- [HHL24] S. Hua, B. Huang, and L. Li, Joint value distribution of Hecke–Maass forms, May 2024, arXiv:2405.00996 [math].
- [HR95] J. Hoffstein and D. Ramakrishnan, Siegel zeros and cusp forms, International Mathematics Research Notices 1995 (1995), no. 6, 279–308.
- [Hua24] B. Huang, Joint distribution of Hecke eigenforms, June 2024.
- [Hum18] P. Humphries, Equidistribution in shrinking sets and L⁴-norm bounds for automorphic forms, Mathematische Annalen **371** (2018), no. 3, 1497–1543 (en).
- [IK04] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, Analytic Number Theory, Colloquium Publications, no. 53, American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [Iwa02] H. Iwaniec, Spectral Methods of Automorphic Forms, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, November 2002 (en).
- [Mar14] S. Marshall, Triple product L-functions and quantum chaos on SL(2, c), Israel Journal of Mathematics 200 (2014), no. 1 (en).
- [MV10] P. Michel and A. Venkatesh, *The subconvexity problem for* GL(2), Publications mathématiques de l'IHÉS **111** (2010), no. 1, 171–271, TBR.
- [Nel25] P. D. Nelson, Subconvexity implies quantum unique ergodicity for GL(2), May 2025.
- [Shi80] P. Shiu, A Brun-Titschmarsh theorem for multiplicative functions., Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 313 (1980), 161–170 (und).
- [You18] M. P. Young, A note on the sup norm of Eisenstein series, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 69 (2018), no. 4, 1151–1161.

University of Lille CNRS, UMR 8524 — Laboratoire Paul Painlevé 59000 Lille, France

 $Email\ address: \verb|didier.lesesvre@univ-lille.fr|\\ Email\ address: \verb|nicole.raulf@univ-lille.fr|\\$

Sorbonne Université CNRS, UMR 7586 — Institut Mathématique de Jussieu 4 Place Jussieu, 75252, Paris, France

Email address: luca.marchesini@imj-prg.fr