

# LECTURE 4 — THEORY OF LOCAL NEWFORMS FOR $\mathrm{GL}(n)$

TALK BY CHI-YUN HSU

ABSTRACT. We present the main ingredients of the proof of Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro and Shalika main result and its consequences.

## 1. SETTING

Consider  $F$  a non-archimedean local field,  $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$  a nontrivial character of conductor  $\mathfrak{D}$ , and  $(\pi, V)$  an irreducible admissible representation of  $G_r := \mathrm{GL}_r(F)$ . To this representation, we can associate an L-factor of the form

$$L(s, \pi) = P_\pi(q^{-s})^{-1}, \quad P_\pi \in \mathbf{C}[X], \quad P_\pi(0) = 1, \quad (1)$$

which has originally been constructed from Godement-Jacquet theory. It satisfies a functional equation involving an epsilon factor

$$\varepsilon(s, \pi, \psi) = Cq^{-ms}, \quad m \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (2)$$

Recall the definition of the congruence subgroups  $K(n)$  where

$$K(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_{r-1}(\mathfrak{D}) & \mathfrak{D} \\ \mathfrak{p}^n & 1 + \mathfrak{p}^n \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3)$$

and its subgroup

$$K'(n) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_{r-1}(\mathfrak{D}) & \mathfrak{p}^n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (4)$$

Each of them contains the compact subgroup

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_{r-1}(\mathfrak{D}) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

The main theorem of Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro and Shalika is the following.

**Theorem (1).** *Assume  $\pi$  is generic. Then*

- $m \geq 0$
- if  $\pi^{K(n)} \neq 0$ , then  $n \geq m$
- $\dim \pi^{K(m)} = 1$

---

*Date:* April 14, 2022.

Notes updated on April 20, 2022.

These notes have been taken on the go by Didier Lesesvre. They may contain typos and errors.

## 2. RANKIN-SELBERG ZETA INTEGRALS

**2.1. Zeta integrals.** Recall some facts about Rankin-Selberg L-functions, that have been introduced and extensively developed in [5]. Let  $r' < r$ , and consider  $(\pi', V')$  be an irreducible admissible representation of  $GL_{r'}(F)$ . Assume  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  are generic. Given Whittaker functions  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$  and  $W' \in \mathcal{W}(\pi', \bar{\psi})$ . Define the Rankin-Selberg zeta integral

$$Z(s, W, W') = \int_{N_{r'} \backslash G_{r'}} W \begin{pmatrix} h & \\ & I \end{pmatrix} W'(h) |h|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} dh, \quad (6)$$

where  $|h|$  stands for  $|\det(h)|$ . We can write it as a formal series (with both positive and negative degrees) in  $q^{-s}$  by cutting by valuation of  $\det(h)$ , i.e.

$$Z(s, W, W') = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_n (q^{-s})^n \in \mathbf{C}[[q^{\pm s}]] \quad (7)$$

where

$$a_n = q^{n/2} \int_{\text{val}(|g|)=n} W \begin{pmatrix} h & \\ & I \end{pmatrix} W'(h) |h|^{-1/2} dh. \quad (8)$$

This series has in fact only finitely many negative terms, so that

$$Z(s, W, W') = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_n X^n \in \mathbf{C}((q^{-s})) \quad (9)$$

by arguments on the support of  $W$  (see previous talk).

**2.2. Unramified principal series.** Choose  $\pi'$  to be an unramified principal series  $\pi' = I(x_1, \dots, x_{r'})$  where  $(x_1, \dots, x_{r'})$  are the Satake parameters (we can write it as an explicit induced representation).

In the Whittaker model  $\mathcal{W}(\pi', \bar{\psi})$ , there is a unique normalized spherical vector

$$W_{\underline{x}} = W'_o(\cdot; x_1, \dots, x_{r'}).$$

We in fact can show (it is a result of explicit formulas of Shintani for the unramified case for  $GL(n)$ , and of Casselman-Shalika for a quite more general class of groups) that for all  $g' \in G_{r'}$ ,

$$W'_o(g'; x_1, \dots, x_{r'}) \in \mathbf{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_{r'}^{\pm 1}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{r'}}. \quad (10)$$

By the Satake isomorphism, this ring is isomorphic to the spherical Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{r'}^{\text{sph}}$ . When  $W'$  is chosen to be  $W'_o(\underline{x})$  in the formula (7) for  $Z(s, W, W')$ , then it can be seen as a polynomial

$$\Psi_W(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r'}) \in \mathbf{C}[X_1^{\pm}, \dots, X_{r'}^{\pm}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{r'}} \quad (11)$$

such that

$$\Psi_W(q^{-s}, x_1, \dots, x_{r'}) = Z(s, W, W_{\underline{x}}). \quad (12)$$

**2.3. Main theorem.** We can now state the second main theorem of Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro and Shalika.

**Theorem (2).** *Let  $r' = r - 1$ . There is a unique  $W_{\pi} \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$  such that*

- $W_{\pi}$  is right- $K$ -invariant
- $\Psi_{W_{\pi}}(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r'}) = \prod_{i=1}^{r'} P_{\pi}^{-1}(X X_i)$

In particular, taking  $X = q^{-s}$  and  $X_i = x_i$  we obtain

$$Z(s, W_\pi, W_{\underline{x}}) = L(s, \pi \times I(x_1, \dots, x_{r'})) \quad (13)$$

since the L-factor on the right is the L-factor of  $L(s, \pi \times I(x_1, \dots, x_{r'}))$  — and happens to also be the product of the local L-factors  $L(s, \pi \times \chi_{x_i}) = L(s + x_i, \pi)$ . In other words, the L-factor is attained by a zeta integral, and the *newvector*  $W_\pi$  is a test vector realising the L-factor as such a zeta integral.

**2.4. Three properties.** Let's now recall some important ingredients that will be crucial to the proofs.

**Proposition** (Support condition). *There is a constant  $C \geq 0$  such that if  $g$  is in the support of  $W$ , i.e. if  $W(g) \neq 0$ , then  $\left| \frac{a_i(g)}{a_{i+1}(g)} \right| \leq C$  for all  $i \leq r - 1$ , where we wrote  $g = nak$  according to the Iwasawa decomposition and  $a_i(g)$  is the  $i$ -th entry of the diagonal component  $a$ . Moreover, if  $W$  is fixed by  $K'(0)$  (in fact, it is sufficient to take any subgroup containing matrices with integral nonzero  $n_{i,i+1}$  coefficients), then we can take  $C = 1$ , in which case we have*

$$\Psi_W(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r'}) \in \mathbf{C}[X, X_1, \dots, X_r]. \quad (14)$$

*Proof.* See previous talk, and use critically the fact that the function in the zeta integral is of the form  $W \left( \begin{smallmatrix} g \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$  so that  $\alpha_r(g) = a_r(g) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition** (Functional equation for  $\Psi$ ). *We have the functional equation*

$$\Psi_W(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r'}) \prod_i P_\pi(X X_i) \prod_i \varepsilon(X X_i, \psi) \quad (15)$$

$$= \Psi_{\tilde{W}}(q^{-1} X^{-1}, X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_{r'}^{-1}) \prod_i P_{\tilde{\pi}}(q^{-1} X^{-1} X_i^{-1}) \quad (16)$$

where  $\tilde{W}(g) = W(w^t g^{-1})$  is a Whittaker function for the contragredient  $\tilde{\pi}$ .

*Proof.* This is proven in [5].  $\square$

Introducing the notation

$$\Phi_W(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r'}) = \Psi_W(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r'}) \prod_i P_\pi(X X_i) \quad (17)$$

and  $\varepsilon(X, \underline{X}) = \prod_i \varepsilon_\pi(X X_i)$ , this rewrites more compactly as

$$\Phi_W(X, \underline{X}) = \varepsilon(X, \underline{X}) \Phi_{\tilde{W}}(q^{-1} X^{-1}, \underline{X}^{-1}). \quad (18)$$

Note also that  $\Phi_W(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r'})$  can be thought of as the local zeta integral  $\Psi_W(X, \underline{X})$  from which we removed the common denominator.

**Proposition** (Injectivity of zeta integrals). *If  $\Psi_W(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}) = 0$ , then  $W = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\Psi_W(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}) = 0$ , then  $W \left( \begin{smallmatrix} h \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = 0$  for all  $g \in G_{r-1}$ , by [4]. In fact, this implies that  $W = 0$  as a consequence of Bernstein-Zelevinsky results.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1 FROM THEOREM 2

Let's show that the theorem 2 implies theorem 1. So assume from now on that the theorem 2 is valid, and in particular there exists such a newvector  $W_\pi$ .

**Proposition.** *If Theorem 2 holds, then  $W_\pi$  is fixed by  $K(m)$  and  $m \geq 0$ .*

*Remark.* We know, by smoothness, that it is fixed by a certain  $K(n)$ . The whole point of Theorem 1 is to prove that this  $n$  can be taken to be the same integer  $m$  appearing as exponent of the  $\varepsilon$ -factor.

*Proof.* Note that we have  $K(m) = \langle {}^tK'(m), K'(0) \rangle$  for  $m \geq 0$ , so it is enough to prove the invariant by both  $K'(0)$  and  ${}^tK'(m)$  separately.

**Step 1.**  $W_\pi$  is fixed by  $K'(0)$ .

It is the same as saying that the support of  $W$  has its last lines integral. This is proven in the paper of Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro and Shalika.

**Step 2.**  $W_\pi$  is fixed by  $K(m)$ .

By the functional equation for  $\Psi_{W_\pi}$ , changing variables, using the fact that  $\varepsilon(X) = CX^m$  and the newform definition “ $\Psi_{W_\pi} P_\pi = 1$ ”, we get

$$\Psi_{\tilde{W}_\pi}(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}) = \prod_i P_\pi^{-1}(XX_i) \prod_i C(q^{-1}X^{-1}X_i^{-1})^m. \quad (19)$$

We will construct another Whittaker function having the same  $\Psi$ -integral, so that it will be equal to  $\tilde{W}_\pi$  by injectivity (note that  $\tilde{W}_\pi$  may not be the newvector  $W_{\tilde{\pi}}$  for  $\tilde{\pi}$ ). Introduce

$$W_m := \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^m I_{r-1} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot W_{\tilde{\pi}} \quad (20)$$

Then, changing variables and using the action of the central character,

$$\Psi_{W_m}(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}) = \Psi_{W_{\tilde{\pi}}}(X, X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}) \prod_i X_i^{-m} (Xq^{1/2})^{-m(r-1)} \quad (21)$$

where  $\prod x_i^{-m}$  is the value of the central character of the induced  $I(\cdot, x_1, \dots, x_{r-1})$  on the scalar matrix  $\varpi^{-m} I_{r-1}$ , and the last term comes from  $|g|^{s-1/2}$  after the change of variables. Now, by the main theorem, this is also

$$\prod_i P_\pi^{-1}(XX_i) (Xq^{1/2})^{-m(r-1)} \prod_i X_i^{-m} \quad (22)$$

hence  $\Psi_{\tilde{W}_\pi} = \alpha \Psi_{W_m}$  where  $\alpha$  is a constant. By the injectivity property, we deduce  $\tilde{W}_\pi = \alpha W_m$ . But since  $W_{\tilde{\pi}}$  is fixed by  $K'(0)$  by the previous step,  $W_m$  is fixed by the conjugate of  $K'(0)$  by  $\varpi^m$ , which is  $K'(m)$ . Thus  $\tilde{W}_\pi$  is fixed by  $K'(m)$ , i.e.  $W_\pi$  is fixed by  ${}^tK'(m)$  too. If  $m \geq 0$ , both subgroups generate  $K(m)$ . If  $m < 0$ , then they generate  $G_r$  which is absurd (see e.g. the equality defining the newvector).  $\square$

Now let's prove the two last properties of the Theorem 1.

**Proposition.** *If Theorem 2 holds, then*

- $\dim \pi^{K(m)} = 1$
- if  $\pi^{K(n)} \neq 0$  then  $n \geq m$

*Proof.* Let  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$  fixed by  $K(n)$ . It in particular is fixed by  $K'(0)$  and  ${}^tK'(n)$ , so that  $\tilde{W}$  is fixed by  $K'(n)$ . Then  $\tilde{W}_{-n} := \varpi^{-n} \cdot \tilde{W}$  is fixed by  $K'(0)$ .

By similar computations as above, we obtain

$$\Psi_{\tilde{W}_{-n}}(X, \underline{X}) = \Psi_{\tilde{W}}(X, \underline{X}) (Xq^{1/2})^{n(r-1)} \prod_i X_i^n \quad (23)$$

so, multiplying by  $\prod_i P_{\tilde{\pi}}(XX_i)$ , we obtain

$$\Psi_{\tilde{W}_{-n}}(X, \underline{X}) \prod_i P_{\tilde{\pi}}(XX_i) = \Psi_{\tilde{W}}(X, \underline{X}) \prod_i P_{\tilde{\pi}}(XX_i) \cdot (Xq^{1/2})^{n(r-1)} \prod_i X_i^n. \quad (24)$$

Using the functional equation and the fact that  $\varepsilon(X) = CX^m$ , this also rewrites

$$= \Psi_W(q^{-1}X^{-1}, q^{-1}X_i^{-1}) \prod_i P_{\pi}(q^{-1}X^{-1}X_i^{-1}) \prod_i C(q^{-1}X^{-1}X_i^{-1})^m (Xq^{1/2})^{n(r-1)} \prod_i X_i^n. \quad (25)$$

By the  $K'(0)$ -invariance of  $W$  (resp.  $\tilde{W}_{-n}$ ),  $\Psi_W(X, \underline{X}) \prod P_{\pi}(XX_i)$  (resp. the corresponding quantity for  $\tilde{W}_{-n}$ ) is a polynomial in the  $X_i$ . Looking at the  $X_i$ -part of the equality between (24) and (25), we deduce from the factor  $\prod(XX_i)^{n-m}$  that we need  $n - m \geq 0$ .

In the case  $n = m$ , we deduce from the above relation that  $\Psi_W$  is equal to  $\Psi_{W_{\pi}}$  up to a constant hence, by the injectivity property, we conclude that  $W = W_{\pi}$  and this ends the proof of the multiplicity one result.  $\square$

#### 4. AN OUTLOOK OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

**4.1. Uniqueness.** The uniqueness (up to a constant) of the newform is a consequence of the injectivity of the local zeta integrals: it determines  $W$  on  $G_{r-1} \times 1$ , and this determines  $W$  on the whole  $G_r$  (as a consequence of Bernstein-Zelevinsky results).

**4.2. Existence.** Let  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ . Introduce

$$\Xi_W(XX_i) := \Psi_W(X, \underline{X}) \prod_i P_{\pi}(XX_i) \quad (26)$$

where  $\Xi_W \in \mathbf{C}[X_1^{\pm}, \dots, X_r^{\pm}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{r-1}}$ . This is an easy consequence of the ‘‘homogeneity property’’ of Whittaker functions (and thus of zeta integrals  $\Psi_W$ ), as detailed in [3]. Introduce the set of all such polynomials

$$I(\pi) := \{\Xi_W : W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)\}. \quad (27)$$

**Proposition.**  $I(\pi)$  is a  $\mathbf{C}[X_i]$ -ideal.

*Proof.* Multiplying by a polynomial can be translated by a Hecke action, through the Satake isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}^{\text{sph}} & \xleftrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbf{C}[X_1^{\pm}, \dots, X_{r-1}^{\pm}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{r-1}} \\ & & \xleftrightarrow{P} P \end{array} \quad (28)$$

In other words, the Hecke eigenfunction of  $\phi$  is given by  $P$ , that is to say

$$\phi \star W'_o(g, \underline{x}) = P(\underline{x})W'_o(g, \underline{x}). \quad (29)$$

Defining

$$W_{\phi}(g) = \int_{G_r} W \left( g \begin{pmatrix} h & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \phi(h^{-1})|h|^{-1/2} dh, \quad (30)$$

we verify that  $P\Xi_W = \Xi_{W_{\phi}}$ , so that  $I(\pi)$  is indeed an ideal.  $\square$

**Proposition.**  $I(\pi)$  is the whole ring  $\mathbf{C}[X_1^{\pm}, \dots, X_{r-1}^{\pm}]^{\mathfrak{S}_{r-1}}$ .

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the huge paper [5], proving all the properties of Rankin-Selberg convolutions.  $\square$

*Remark.* This is where the paper [4] contains a (quite critical!) mistake. They show that two polynomials of the form  $P_{\pi}(X)$  and  $P_{\tilde{\pi}}(q^{-1}X^{-1})$  are in  $I(\pi)$ , and that these ideals are coprime, concluding the proof. However, they are not always coprime for  $n \geq 3$  as

noticed by Nadir Matringe. This was fixed in [3] (and Matringe proved the result using derivatives of representations).

Hence there is a  $W_\pi \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$  satisfying  $\Xi_W = 1$ , which is the desired statement. It remains to make it  $K \times 1$  invariant, what is done by averaging (i.e. taking the convolution with  $\mathbf{1}_{K \times 1}$ ).  $\square$

*Remark.* The  $K \times 1$ -invariance is not necessary to the proof, but is necessary to state uniqueness and to prove the finer properties proved above.

*Remark.* An analogous result for Godement Jacquet integrals is in Humphries: there are (explicit) test vectors and matrix coefficients so that the associated Godement-Jacquet integral exactly matches the L-factor. However there is not such nice consequences as being fixed by certain subgroups; see Jana-Nelson for such statements.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Cogdell, *Lectures on L-functions, Converse Theorems and Functoriality for  $GL(n)$* , in Lectures on Automorphic L-functions, AMS (2000).
- [2] Godement-Jacquet, *Zeta Functions of Simple Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math 260 (1972), Springer.
- [3] Jacquet, *A correction to Conducteurs des représentations du groupe linéaire*, 2011.
- [4] Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro and Shalika, *Conducteurs des représentations du groupe linéaire*, Math. Annalen 256 (1981), 199–214.
- [5] Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro and Shalika, *Rankin-Selberg convolutions*, Amer. J of Math. 105 (1983), 367–464.
- [6] Tsai, *On Newforms for Split Special odd orthogonal groups*, PhD Thesis at Harvard University (2013).